| UNITED STATES DISTRICT CO SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW | YORK | USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILEI DOC #: DATE FILED: 12/29/2023 |
|---|----------------|---|
| Tanya Rosario, et al., | | |
| | Plaintiffs, | 7:22-CV-9855 CS-VR |
| | i iaiitiiis, | <u>ORDER</u> |
| -against- | | |
| Community Housing Management | Corp., et al., | |
| | Defendants. | |
| | X | |
| Cl. 11 C D C | 11 | |
| Chenelle Saintil, Denise Saintil, | | 7:22-CV-9857 CS-VR |
| | Plaintiffs, | <u>ORDER</u> |
| -against- | | |
| Community Housing Management | Corp., et al., | |
| | Defendants. | |
| | Y | |
| | Α | |
| Tejash Sanchala, et al., | | 7:23-CV-519 CS-VR |
| | Plaintiffs, | <u>ORDER</u> |
| -against- | | |
| Community Housing Management | Corp., et al., | |
| | Defendants. | |
| | X | |
| | _ | |

VICTORIA REZNIK, United States Magistrate Judge:

All parties in the Rosario and Saintil cases move to lift the stay of discovery that the

Court So Ordered on November 1, 2023, and to extend discovery until April 30, 2024.¹ Plaintiffs also move for a revised briefing schedule to file their motion to amend the complaint,² to which the Defendants in *Rosario* and *Saintil* do not object but request 21 days to oppose the motion.³

The Defendants in *Rosario* and *Saintil* also request that the Court set a January 25, 2024, deadline to complete paper discovery and impose a coordinated discovery schedule for the following three actions: *Rosario v. Community Housing Management Corp.*, 22-cv-9855; *Saintil v. Community Housing Management Corp.*, 22-cv-9857; and *Sanchala v. Community Housing Management Corp.*, 23-cv-519.⁴

The Community Housing Management Corp. Defendants further cross move to compel Plaintiffs to produce outstanding discovery materials at least 15 days before the requested date of January 25, 2024, for the close of paper discovery.⁵

Upon due consideration, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the parties' motions to lift the stay and to extend the discovery deadline to April 30, 2024, are **GRANTED**.

It is further **ORDERED** that Plaintiffs' request for a revised briefing schedule for their motion to amend the complaint is **GRANTED**. Plaintiffs' amended complaint is due on **January 19, 2024**. Defendants' oppositions are due on **February 9, 2024**. Plaintiffs' reply, if any, is due on **February 16, 2024**.

It is further **ORDERED** that the request to coordinate the discovery schedule of the three

¹ ECF Nos. 109, 111, 112 (Rosario); ECF Nos. 106, 108, 109 (Saintil).

² ECF No. 109 (Rosario); ECF No. 106 (Saintil).

³ ECF Nos. 111, 112 (Rosario); ECF Nos. 108, 109 (Saintil).

⁴ ECF Nos. 111, 112 (Rosario); ECF Nos. 108, 109 (Saintil).

⁵ ECF No. 112 (Rosario); ECF No. 109 (Saintil).

Case 7:22-cv-09857-CS-VR Document 111 Filed 12/29/23 Page 3 of 6

related actions is **GRANTED.** The parties in the *Rosario*, 22-cv-9855, *Saintil*, 22-cv-9857, and

Sanchala, 23-cv-519, actions are directed to meet and confer and to file a proposed revised Case

Management Plan and Scheduling Order (CMP) by no later than **January 8, 2024**. The parties

are directed to use the attached sample CMP form, and to revise as necessary.

Assuming the parties have filed appropriate pre-motion letters, the Court will address any

disputed discovery deadlines and outstanding discovery issues at the January 10, 2024,

telephonic Status Conference. To that end, the parties are reminded to follow the Court's

Individual Practices regarding the filing of ppre-motion letters for all discovery disputes.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: White Plains, New York

December 29, 2023

VICTORIA REZNIK

United States Magistrate Judge

| SOU | THER | TATES DISTRICT COURT N DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | x | |
|------|--|---|--|--|
| | | Plaintiff(s), v. | CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN AND SCHEDULING ORDER Civ(VR) | |
| | | Defendant(s). | | |
| cons | This ultation | Civil Case Management Plan and Solution with counsel and any unrepresented Rules of Civil Procedure: | - | |
| 1. | • | y/ Non-Jury. case [is] [is not] to be tried to a jury | y (circle one). | |
| 2. | Amendment/Joinder. The parties may amend the pleadings or join additional parties before | | | |
| 3. | Initia | ial disclosures. al disclosures pursuant to Rule 26(a |)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure must be | |
| 4. | The the I Prac parti | Local Rules of the Southern District tices. The interim deadlines in para | cordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of New York, and Judge Reznik's Individual graphs 4(b) through 4(e) may be extended by the the Court, provided the parties meet the fact 4(a). | |
| | a. | Fact Discovery Deadline. All fact discovery must be comp | leted by | |
| | b. | Requests for Production. Initial requests for production of Any subsequent requests for pro- the fact discovery deadline. | duction must be served by duction must be served no later than 45 days before | |
| | c. | Interrogatories. Interrogatories must be served by Any subsequent interrogatories rediscovery deadline. | y must be served no later than 45 days before the fact | |

| d. | Fact Depositions. Non-expert depositions must be completed by Absent an agreement between the parties or an order from the Court, non-party depositions must follow initial party depositions. | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| e. | Requests to Admit. Requests to admit must be served by, and in any event no later than 45 days before the fact discovery deadline. | | |
| With mus with to w mec Med alter | lement/ADR. nin 14 days of the completion of fact discovery, counsel and any unrepresented parties t meet to discuss settlement. The parties must file a joint letter concerning settlement in 21 days of the completion of fact discovery. The letter must include a statement as hether the parties propose using any of the following alternative dispute resolution hanisms: (i) a settlement conference with the Court; (ii) participation in the Court's liation Program; and/or (iii) retention of a private mediator. The use of any mative dispute resolution mechanism does not stay or modify any date in this Order ent express permission from the Court. | | |
| Exp | ert Discovery. | | |
| a. | Expert Discovery Deadline. All expert discovery, including expert depositions, must be completed by | | |
| b. | Plaintiff's Expert Reports. Plaintiff's expert disclosures pursuant to Rule 26(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure must be made by | | |
| c. | Defendant's Expert Reports. Defendant's expert disclosures pursuant to Rule 26(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure must be made by | | |
| d. | The interim deadlines in paragraphs 6(b) and 6(c) may be extended by the written consent of all parties without application to the Court, provided that all expert discovery is completed by the date set forth in paragraph 6(a). | | |
| (Abs | L DISCOVERY MUST BE COMPLETED BY sent exceptional circumstances, this date should align with the completion of all ert discovery in paragraph 6(a).) | | |
| Sum | nmary Judgment Motions. | | |

8.

5.

6.

7.

All motions and applications must be governed by the Court's Individual Practices. Within 14 days of the completion of all discovery, any party wishing to file a summary judgment motion shall file a pre-motion letter (not a letter-motion) no longer than three (3) single-spaced pages in length, setting forth the basis for the anticipated motion. The opposing party shall submit a letter response via ECF, no longer than three (3) single-spaced pages in length, within five business days after submission of the moving party's letter, unless the parties agree otherwise (and the Court is informed of the agreed response date by letter).

| ^ | | | |
|----|---|----------|--------------------------|
| u | Laint | Pretrial | ()rdor |
| /. | .,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | \/// \/// \// \// |

Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the parties must submit a proposed Joint Pretrial Order for approval within 30 days after the date for the completion of all discovery, or, if a summary judgment motion has been filed, within 30 days after a decision on the motion. The proposed Joint Pretrial Order must be prepared in accordance with Judge Reznik's Individual Practices, and the parties must also comply with Judge Reznik's Individual Practices with respect to the filing of other required pretrial documents.

| | , | to the filing of other required pretrial documents. | | |
|--------|--|---|--|--|
| 10. | Estimated length of trial. The parties have conferred and their present best estimate of the length of the trial is | | | |
| 11. | | n and Scheduling Order may not be modified or the we of the Court (except as provided in paragraphs 4 and | | |
| Dated: | White Plains, NY | SO ORDERED. | | |
| | | VICTORIA REZNIK United States Magistrate Judge | | |